

Everything you need to turn documents into decisions in Gixo Business

Plan a brief, build a recommendation or research dossier, review the evidence and calculations, and record the case decision. Work from your own sources and keep the questions that remain open visible.

START HERE

Your Business dashboard

gixo.ai/dashboard/business

Ask Gixo Business

gixo.ai/business/ask

Your library

gixo.ai/business/library

Plans and your trial

gixo.ai/products/briefs#plans

Choose the work you need to do

Start with the question and the person who must act on it. A short update, a recommendation with alternatives, a diligence dossier and a governed approval case need different evidence and review steps.

YOUR JOB	WHERE TO START	WHAT TO REVIEW
A written brief or report	Brief Mode: question, audience, sources and a reviewed outline.	Coverage, citations, figures, assumptions and the final saved wording.
A recommendation	Consultant: choices, criteria, constraints and case evidence.	The analytical working paper, options, trade-offs and proposed recommendation.
Research or diligence	Analyst: target, focus and source pack; working tables and calculations where needed.	Faithfulness findings, source-cell mappings, model inputs and the next reporting period.
A formal approval	A Business Case around eligible saved work.	Recorded evidence status, financials, stage approvals, governance and the exact approved revision.

YOUR JOB	WHERE TO START	WHAT TO REVIEW
A readiness check	A free diagnostic, playbook or focused tool.	Your answers, rule-based findings and the evidence still needed.

Saved source sets are packs of files you can reuse across briefs. **Open library** lists your saved Business briefs and their translations.

The Business dashboard keeps source sets, briefs and recommendation work accessible. Generated briefs appear in **your library**; the source-set list is for the material you reuse, rather than the finished brief.

Ask Gixo Business: plan before you queue

Describe the job and let the planner propose a route. Public planning with pasted text can work before sign-in. Executing the proposed workflow needs authentication, appropriate product access and available capacity.

Ask Gixo Business gixo.ai/business/ask →

1 Describe the outcome

State the question, intended reader, decision or deliverable, timing and constraints. The request accepts up to 8,000 characters. An existing artifact excerpt is useful when the task is a revision or comparison.

2 Supply the evidence

Paste relevant text. With permitted Business and source access, choose uploads, saved source sets, library work or supported connected documents. Ask can also accept up to five public webpages.

3 Inspect the plan

Review the proposed route, outline, source forecast, missing inputs and checks. A comparison can need more than one source. An evidence score describes the planner's rules and available material; it is not a prediction of success.

4 Resolve the blockers

Add the missing evidence or refine the question, then plan again. If your sources or request change, use a current plan for those inputs. Read warnings before acknowledging them.

5 Queue only the reviewed work

Check the required sign-in, product access and capacity. Some revision or export intents hand you to an editor or export screen. Planning and acknowledging warnings do not approve the final document, and nothing queues itself.

Plan Preview

Review the output, planned sections, source requirements, and checks before queuing.

Plan prepared

Gixo will create: Brief

Output to create

Brief

Detected a structured Business brief request. Draft artifact and source evidence pack prepared.

✓ Brief · Ready to queue after your review

Actual Ask Business plan and Brief route readiness. Two fictional TXT sources were supplied; no draft was queued. Captured 10 October 2026.

Source forecast

Few source concerns

Well-supported source material · 70/100 evidence score

Verify after generation

Verify that major claims cite an attached source or are clearly marked as assumptions.

Check every numeric value against the extracted metrics before sending.

Output-review checklist

Check after generation

Use this checklist to review the generated output before handoff.

3 required checks

Major claims are source-backed or explicitly marked as assumptions.

Figures are cited to one of the supplied sources.

Numeric values are checked against extracted metrics or marked for manual verification.

The heuristic forecast is 70/100. Output checks still say Check after generation; this is not proof of a checked or completed report. Captured 10 October 2026.

Bring the right sources

Use material you can inspect: dated reports, notes, spreadsheets, transcripts and source documents. Meaningful file names, reporting periods and definitions help you trace the final claim back to its evidence.

- **Uploads and saved source sets.** Brief Mode supports up to 10 source files, 500 MB each and 2 GB total. Wait for extraction before planning. Reuse selected files from a saved set and add current material for the next assignment.

- **Selected app documents.** Where a supported connection is configured and authorized, choose the specific Google Drive, OneDrive/SharePoint or Dropbox documents, or Slack messages, to read as source text. Available adapters do not establish that every connection is live. Selection does not start a whole-account crawl, synchronize later changes or write back to the app.
- **Web scope.** A new brief starts on *Only my sources*. Choose *My sources + the web* when external context is needed, and specify trusted domains where appropriate. Public pages you attach and search-result evidence remain distinct from uploaded-file citation markers.
- **Long or complex sources.** Extraction can omit content or truncate a long source. Ask inline source text is capped at 24,000 characters per source; the evidence pack reads at most 12 sources and a bounded set of relevant passages. Check warnings and the original file when a conclusion depends on omitted text.

A SOURCE IS NOT AUTOMATICALLY A FACT

A statement can accurately repeat a source that is wrong, out of date or inapplicable. Confirm the source date, units, scope and authority, and keep competing figures or unresolved assumptions visible.

Write a brief from a reviewed outline

Brief Mode plans from the goal, intended reader and source scope. Review the proposed sections and evidence needs rather than treating an old fixed menu of brief types as the current workflow.

Plan & Generate Business Briefs gixo.ai/briefs →

1 Set the question and audience

Explain what the reader needs to understand or decide. Include the period, geography, options and any required format.

2 Choose the sources and sourcing policy

Add the selected source set, uploads and context you want used. A request-only draft has no supplied evidence for number reconciliation or uploaded-file citations.

3 Review the outline

Check section order, intended depth and evidence requirements. Refine the request and plan again if the outline misses the actual decision or supporting material.

4 Create the draft

Generate from the accepted plan while its inputs still match. Planning is distinct from generation; an outline gives drafting direction and does not establish completeness or correctness.

5 Review before delivery

Inspect the draft's sources and Evidence findings, revise the passages that need work, then check the saved output before export.

In *Rules or standards*, you can name the jurisdiction, laws, standards and specific references that should shape the document. The normative appendix lists what you supplied. It does not look up clauses, map requirements to legal provisions or supply factual proof.

Check figures, citations and candidate evidence

Business provides several review aids. Keep their purposes separate: silent number repair, the generated-draft Evidence findings and recorded decisions on extracted evidence items answer different questions.

REVIEW AID	WHAT IT DOES	YOUR NEXT STEP
Number reconciliation	Attempts to match figures in a sourced brief's prose, lists and table body cells with supplied files, pages or search-result material. Table headings and row labels provide context; derived totals are treated separately.	Check material figures and calculations against the originals. Repair is silent and can keep an original figure when it cannot finish. It supplies no list of every repair and is not an independent fact-check.
Uploaded-file citations	Where the reviewed plan and evidence policy call for citations, the writing model places numbered markers and a Sources section. Customer-facing formats can omit visible citations.	Check missing markers and whether a cited source supports the adjacent wording. A marker alone does not validate the claim.
Checks → Evidence	Lists up to five generated-draft sentences or table cells whose wording, exact figures or citation coverage need inspection.	Open the source, correct or qualify the passage yourself, and review later edits separately. Findings do not become annotations in the exported document.
Candidate evidence pack	Extracts possible claims, metrics, quotes, tables and conflicts, with source identifiers and available locations.	Check extraction and omissions. A candidate is not a verified fact, and absence from the pack does not prove absence from the source.

HOW TO READ THE EVIDENCE LIST

The check compares wording and exact figures, rather than meaning. A faithful paraphrase, or \$8.1M where a source says 8,100,000, can fail to match while true; a match does not confirm truth. It reads at most 24 relevant passages of up to 1,600 characters and at most 12 sources. Web-research checks read search-result titles, snippets and descriptions, rather than full webpages. Partial or unavailable checks are advisory. It checks the draft as generated, rather than your later edits.

Evidence review

4 blocking checks left

0 of 9 reviewed. Claims and conflicts must be decided before the evidence gate can be approved.

Claim
Blocking

Fictional Harborstone operations excerpt: the current monthly invoice-review cost is \$12,000 and requires 24 staff hours.

harborstone-operations-focus.txt · chars 1-179

Approve
Reject
Mark stale

Claim
Blocking

These figures are manually authored demonstration inputs.

harborstone-operations-focus.txt · chars 1-179

Approve
Reject
Mark stale

A separate focused-source plan shows the first two of nine review items, source locators and four blocking checks left. No review decision was submitted. Captured 10 October 2026.

In the evidence review workbench, record a decision beside each current item where you have artifact ownership and current workspace access. Earlier decisions remain distinguishable when the reviewed content changes. A decision can reject or mark an item stale; clearing an undecided-item check does not mean every claim was approved. These records do not automatically satisfy the formal Business Case approval gate.

Build a Consultant recommendation

Use Consultant when the question needs alternatives, criteria, trade-offs and a reasoned point of view. The workflow first builds an analytical working paper, then a recommendation report from that structure.

[Create a recommendation](https://gixo.ai/consultant/create) gixo.ai/consultant/create →

1 Frame the decision

State the question, decision maker, deadline and context. Add viable alternatives, including the status quo where relevant, and explain criteria, constraints and prior attempts.

2 Attach the case evidence

Use the selected source set, uploads, pasted text and explicit working assumptions. Imported primary text is capped at 18,000 characters, each uploaded source at 12,000 and combined case material at 90,000. Inspect truncation warnings.

3

Review the case plan

Check the analytical path and missing inputs before queueing the recommendation. Consultant requires Business Starter or higher, its workflow access and available generation capacity; plan allowances are shown in your account.

4

Inspect the reasoning

Review the working paper, findings and final report. Model review and revision attempts can leave unresolved findings, unequal evidence or biased reasoning. Supply the missing evidence and edit the recommendation before using it.

The options, criteria and decision table can sit inside the Business report. New standalone decision pages and visual frameworks belong to Gixo Prism and require Prism access.

DECISION INPUTS

One item per line for options, criteria, constraints, and prior attempts.

Options

Keep the current process
Run a limited 90-day pilot
Defer until Finance and Operations validate the inputs

Criteria

Evidence strength and source traceability
Upfront and recurring costs
Implementation capacity
Reversibility and measurable outcomes

Constraints

No full rollout before pilot evidence
Finance must review source figures
Operations must confirm staffing
Benefits remain assumptions until measured

Prior attempts

Two teams completed a four-week internal process exercise; outcomes are not yet validated.

Consultant intake with three fictional options and four criteria. Working notes are assumptions; source import, case planning and recommendation generation were not submitted. Captured 10 October 2026.

Research with Analyst, then inspect the evidence

Give Analyst a target, optional focus and current source material. It creates a research or diligence dossier and runs an AI faithfulness review against those supplied sources. Read the findings and original evidence before relying on the result.

[Create an Analyst dossier](https://gixo.ai/analyst/create) gixo.ai/analyst/create →

A saved dossier can provide continuity for a later review, but its prior narrative is not fresh evidence. Supply current sources when returning to the company, market, vendor or reporting period. Faithfulness review does not independently verify the sources or guarantee a comprehensive diligence investigation.

Work from source tables

1 Preview the table you intend to use

Supported XLSX, CSV or TSV previews accept files up to 5 MB, up to 10 sheets, 200 rows and 26 columns. Read the omission warnings. Excel formula cells supply stored values; they are not recalculated.

2 Check the working cells

Edit the working table and confirm row labels, column labels, units and periods. Manual edits can detach an old source binding, so inspect which values remain tied to source cells.

3 Review the input mapping

Choose the cells, or sum/average/minimum/maximum selections, that become calculation inputs. Inspect the mapping before applying it. If the source or model has changed, refresh the preview rather than apply a stale projection.

Calculate and replay a workbook

Build a calculation workbook with explicit named inputs and formulas, then inspect the results and calculation steps. The supported expression engine handles arithmetic and functions including ABS, ROUND, MIN, MAX, SUM, AVG, IF, PERCENT, CHANGE and PERCENT_CHANGE. Identical definitions and engine versions replay to the same values and recorded hashes.

A workbook supports up to 50 inputs and 50 formulas, with expressions up to 500 characters and bounded depth and decimal precision. Supported XLSX exchange retains the required Inputs, Formulas, Results and Readme structure. Result sheets are informational snapshots: they do not update in Excel, execute Excel formulas or run macros or external links. Review input accuracy, units and formulas before exporting calculated metrics.

Repeat the next reporting period

1 Prepare the next period

Start from the saved review recipe and identify the new period and required source roles.

2 Compare the source cells

Review differences, new headings or labels and the input mappings. Confirm any changed mapping rather than assume last period's cells still represent the same metric.

3 Replay and record the reviewed run

Inspect the calculations and accept the current run before downloading its receipt or output pack. A later change makes the accepted review stale or requires a new iteration.

Where eligible, select exact facts from a particular approved Business Case revision. Keep its approval receipt with the calculation inputs and reload the source if the checked revision or facts no longer match. Author-attested values remain distinct from server-recomputed results. A recorded Analyst review does not approve a new case, schedule updates or publish the output.

Coordinate a Business pack

A larger request can coordinate a source-backed brief and dependent recommendation. Inspect the proposed children, dependencies and product access before starting.

Saved progress distinguishes completed, running, waiting and failed steps after reconnect. Eligible failed steps can resume when the run retains a resume key, reusing completed work; older unkeyed runs cannot safely retry. Missing product children and dependents can be skipped with reasons. A pack does not make completion or output quality certain.

Standalone decks, decisions and frameworks route separately to Lumen or Prism. An optional proposal or RFP child needs Arc access. Recheck each child's sources and final output, even when the same source pack was reused.

① Review selected values before replacing matching model inputs:

planned_cost: new → 12000
actual_cost: new → 13200

[Apply reviewed selections](#)

The real projection shows planned_cost = 12000 and actual_cost = 13200 before applying the reviewed selections. Supplied figures are not independently verified. Captured 10 October 2026.

Output	Formula	Result
<code>cost_variance</code> · Cost variance (adverse when positive)	<code>actual_cost - planned_cost</code>	1200.00 USD
<code>cost_variance_pct</code> · Cost variance as percent of plan	<code>PERCENT(actual_cost - planned_cost, planned_cost)</code>	10.00 %

Actual deterministic results: 13200 minus 12000 is 1200 USD adverse variance, or 10% of plan. The workbook was saved; no narrative dossier was generated. Captured 10 October 2026.

Next-period review

Repeat the current saved workbook with its existing inputs and mappings. Supply current sources, inspect changes and record the reviewed result.

Period or project **October 2026 — fictional**

Iteration **1**

Prepare next period

Recover this period

October 2026 — fictional follow-up · iteration 1 · Waiting for required sources

Required source: Data · Data

Source table **Data · Data**

Preview inspected source for this table

Location **Saved value**

B2 12000

Current source

12500

B1 Planned Cost USD

Planned Operating Cost USD

C2 13200

14000

File

SHA- 667d8de526e9c0ea817315850544a1c79e7b21d46c18f04e26178b6c13d3c5aec4e95305229ac068331b8d3893c8cda0ab00d71abc57dbdc7d74c256

☒ I reviewed changed headings/labels and confirm these cell-address mappings. **Apply reviewed source**

Replayed calculations use supplied inputs. Recording this run does not independently approve financial figures or publish a dossier.

Record reviewed run

Download review receipt

Download workbook and output pack

New fictional values 12500/14000 and a changed heading appear beside saved values. The mapping checkbox was later confirmed; changes were not applied and no period review was accepted. Captured 10 October 2026.

Formalize a Business Case

Use a formal case when the work needs a recorded owner, objective, financial assumptions and staged approval. Start from eligible saved source-family work with full-edit access to the focus and root documents.

1 Initialize the case

Record its business owner, objective and linked work. Check that the case is attached to the intended saved document and source family.

2 Complete the recorded review inputs

Supply evidence status, assumptions, financials, options and the proposed decision. Check the readiness screens; they evaluate recorded inputs and approvals, rather than independently establish truth.

3 Set the case policy while in Draft

Choose the rationale, required stage approvals and reviewer separation appropriate to the case. A policy change invalidates earlier approvals. The policy is case-local and does not grant anyone content or tenant access.

4 Move through the review stages

Review evidence, financials and the decision before the final approved, rejected, on-hold or archived state. Use the current revision and inspect the recorded review and activity history.

CLAIM REVIEW AND CASE APPROVAL ARE SEPARATE

Decisions on individual extracted evidence items do not automatically enforce the formal case gate. The case uses its separately recorded evidence status, financials and approval history. Bring important findings into that process and inspect the current case readiness before approving it.

☒ Controlled governance

Policy name

Fictional review policy preview — not saved

Evidence approvals	Financial approvals	Decision approvals	Closure approvals
1	1	1	1

☒ Require approval rationale ☒ Require independent final approver

☒ Separate maker and checker ☒ Block approval on unresolved claims

☒ Use different reviewers across stages ☒ Require execution closure approval

i Policy changes are limited to Draft and invalidate prior approvals so every review follows the new controls.

Cancel Save governance policy

Real Draft policy controls with approval counts and reviewer-separation options. This policy preview was canceled; the case remains unapproved. Captured 10 October 2026.

Compare financial scenarios

Enter explicit downside, base, upside or custom assumptions. The case supports at most five scenarios and a horizon of one to 240 months. Review monthly cash flows, NPV, ROI, payback, whether break-even occurs within the chosen horizon, and the three-by-three benefit/cost sensitivity comparison.

Probability-weighted expected NPV is available only when all scenario probabilities are present and total 100%. Those probabilities are your assumptions, rather than predicted likelihoods. This model does not calculate IRR or run Monte Carlo simulation. Manual totals remain available as author-attested values, separate from server-recomputed scenarios.

Base
Summary case
Use as summary

Scenario name
Base

Type
Base

Probability %
50

Initial investment
8000

One-time cost
0

Monthly operating cost
500

Monthly benefit
1500

Start delay (months)
0

Discount % p.a.
8

Benefit growth %
0

Cost growth %
0

The fictional Base scenario uses 8000 initial investment, 500 monthly cost and 1500 monthly benefit. These are author assumptions, not measured savings. Captured 10 October 2026.

Scenario comparison
gixo-business-financial-v1 · 12 months

Expected NPV USD 2,520.14

Scenario	Total benefit	Total cost	NPV	ROI	Payback
Downside	USD 11,000.00	USD 15,200.00	USD -4,430.29	-27.63%	Not reached
Base	USD 18,000.00	USD 14,000.00	USD 3,495.77	28.57%	8 months
Upside	USD 21,600.00	USD 13,400.00	USD 7,519.32	61.19%	6 months

Monthly cash flow
Benefit and cost sensitivity
Calculation receipt

Server-saved fictional Draft case: Base NPV 3495.77 USD, ROI 28.57%, payback 8 months. Expected NPV 2520.14 uses entered 25/50/25 probabilities. Approval and governed memo export were not completed. Captured 10 October 2026.

Inspect governance and retained receipts

Controlled policy can require one to five approvals per stage, approval notes, maker/checker separation, different stage reviewers, an independent final approver, a recorded unresolved-claim check and closure approval. Review the case's recorded history and retained chain check. Audit receipts keep a rolling window of 200; they are not a lifetime archive, independent timestamp or notarized signature.

Download the approved revision memo

The governed memo is separate from an ordinary brief export. Approval attempts to capture a frozen revision snapshot. Export authorizes access and checks the recorded state, approval receipt, audit chain and financial results before producing memo HTML or a configured PDF.

Snapshot capture is best effort: a case can be approved while its missing snapshot prevents memo export. Unavailable or irreconcilable snapshots are refused. A successful reconciliation confirms consistency of stored facts and results, rather than external financial accuracy. The memo labels manual figures as attested rather than recomputed.

Record the follow-through


After approval, record the execution owner, action priorities and due dates, outcome baselines and targets, current values and health check-ins. Review completion evidence and required closure approval before closing the case. Current bounds are 100 actions, 50 outcome metrics and 100 check-ins. These are explicit records, rather than automatic monitoring, ERP synchronization, delivered reminders or independently measured benefits.

Edit the draft and keep the reviewed version clear


Open the saved Business brief or report in the shared editor. Make direct changes or review an AI proposal before replacing text. Recheck changed evidence, figures and citations because the generated-draft checks do not rerun on later edits.

CONTROL	USE IT FOR	REVIEW BEFORE KEEPING THE RESULT
Quick AI	Six actions on selected text: rewrite, simplify, expand, fix grammar, professional tone and casual tone.	Inspect the proposed change and decide whether to replace the selection. An edit can change facts or references.
Power Edit	A longer instruction with document context for the selected passage.	Confirm the selected scope, changed meaning and source support.
Ask Quill	The current label of the shared editor assistant used to propose document changes.	Review the proposal before keeping it. This is distinct from the Ask Gixo Business workflow planner.
Older versions / Version History	Preview and compare saved body wording, then restore a chosen version as a new save.	History retains the newest 50 body versions. Comparison reads visible words; it is not a complete source-set, layout or case-state backup. Check the current saved body before restoration.


Editing and AI assistance need full-edit permission, active access for the owning product and its assist bounds. Do not infer concurrent-safe restoration from another product's dialog behavior. On Business Professional or higher, comments and named sharing also depend on the current content role. Confirm access and resolve save conflicts before distribution; presence does not establish automatic merging of simultaneous text edits.



Actual shared editing console on the fictional Business-owned workbook, with its selected paragraph, floating tools and Writing Help rail. No AI proposal, formatting change or save was requested in this capture. Captured 10 October 2026.




The shared editor retains the Ask Quill label on Business-owned work. The instruction is entered; Suggest changes was not submitted and no AI proposal is shown. Captured 10 October 2026.



Actual Power Edit dialog for an 85-character selection, with scope and reply-language controls. No instruction was submitted or replacement applied. Captured 10 October 2026.

For an image in the document, open Image Studio from the editor. Review the subject, image type and prompt preview; the dialog also exposes photo search and upload. Before insertion, check the chosen image, any required attribution and its use in the document. Opening the dialog is separate from requesting generation or inserting a picture.



Actual Image Studio dialog showing image types, the selected-text subject and a prompt preview. Search, generation, upload and insertion were not submitted; no generated image or licensing outcome is demonstrated. Captured 10 October 2026.

Versions

Business workbook — Marlow Operations — fictional variance review

Current version: 4 • Saved versions: 1

Version	Modified			
v3	Oct 10, 2026 14:56	Preview	Compare	Restore

What changed between v3 and the current draft

Word-level comparison of the visible text. ~~Struck-through~~ was removed, **highlighted** was added.

Authored working-table snapshot. Supplied data ~~is not independently verified.~~ **remains subject to independent review.**

Data

Metric Planned Cost USD Actual Cost USD

Operating costs 12000 13200

Close

Actual v3-to-v4 visible-word comparison after one manual disclaimer edit. Restore was not used; this does not prove a full source, layout or case-state backup. Captured 10 October 2026.

Export the saved document

Set the document style and page details, inspect the preview and download the reviewed saved version. Permission to view and download the content is distinct from buying new generation.

OUTPUT	WHAT TO EXPECT	WHAT TO CHECK
Ordinary PDF	Designed export of the saved brief or report; configured rendering is required.	Page breaks, tables, citations and final wording. Download does not record an approval.
Editable Word	DOCX export for editing and review.	Fonts, backgrounds, page breaks and supported structures can differ from the preview/PDF. Contents is static and needs eligible headings.
HTML, Markdown, text or JSON	Supported web/text output of the saved content.	Text formats lose visual layout. Inspect the actual file and its source references before sharing.
Governed case memo	HTML or configured PDF from a reconciled approved revision snapshot.	Export can be unavailable after approval if the snapshot is missing. Attested manual totals are distinct from recomputed scenarios.

OUTPUT	WHAT TO EXPECT	WHAT TO CHECK
Analyst calculation outputs	Supported workbook, metric pack or current reviewed-run receipt.	Schema, source mappings, units and accepted-run freshness. XLSX result sheets are snapshots rather than live Excel formulas.

Continue in Lumen, Prism or Arc

Keep the same decision context where the handoff supports it, and check access for the product that owns the output.

NEXT OUTPUT	PRODUCT AND LINK	BOUNDARY
A briefing deck	Deck from Documents in Gixo Lumen	A Lumen plan is required. Review its slide editing and PDF/PowerPoint output in Lumen.
A standalone decision page or framework	Create in Gixo Prism; Prism dashboard	New standalone decisions and frameworks need Prism access. The options and decision logic inside a Business report remain report content.
A proposal or operational RFP response	Gixo Arc	Arc access is required for its requirement ownership, response review and delivery workflow. A Business RFP response map is an editable planning brief.

Earlier decision artifacts made through Business remain subject to their stored access and current product routing. Open the [Prism dashboard](#) to locate them; check the current Prism guides before editing or regenerating rather than follow an old image-only workflow description.

Free diagnostics and playbooks

Six deterministic readiness diagnostics use fixed questions and rules rather than AI generation. Their scores reflect your self-reported answers; a *Ready* band is a prompt for detailed review, rather than certification, funding probability or an independent evaluation.

DIAGNOSTIC	REVIEW FOCUS
Fundraising Readiness	Narrative, traction, market, economics and diligence.
GTM / Launch Readiness	Positioning, audience, channels, sales motion and launch operations.
Product-Market-Fit Signal	Demand, retention, usage, customer pain and expansion.
Due-Diligence Readiness	Corporate, finance, commercial, legal and security/operations preparation.
Decision Quality Audit	Inversion, base rates, reversibility, pre-mortem and process versus outcome.

DIAGNOSTIC	REVIEW FOCUS
Scale / Ops Maturity	Process, ownership, metrics, systems and risk controls.

The score bands are *Not ready* (0–39), *Emerging* (40–59), *Close* (60–79) and *Ready* (80–100). Review the dimension scores and suggested gaps, then supply evidence for any follow-on brief or recommendation.

Sign in for supported saved runs and report downloads; an anonymous session is not durable history.

Five operating playbooks cover **Data-Room Checklist**, **Board-Meeting Prep**, **Launch Runbook**, **Hiring Scorecard** and **Pricing-Change Checklist**. Tick only the items you have completed and inspect critical items still open. A tick records your report of progress rather than proof of execution or approval. Sign in to retain supported progress.

Readiness diagnostics gixo.ai/business-diagnostics

Data-room checklist gixo.ai/business/playbooks/data-room-checklist

Free focused tools

Use a rule-based analysis or a structured builder for a smaller job. Analysis needs no account or AI generation; downloadable takeaways have separate sign-in requirements. Review the supplied inputs and findings before carrying them into a Business draft.

TOOL	USE IT FOR	INPUT BOUNDARY
Scorecard Maker	Compute a weighted ranking from your criteria and scores.	Two to eight options and criteria; the result depends on your judgments and weights.
Case Calculator	A simple financial case using annual recurring assumptions.	One to ten years. It is distinct from the governed monthly case scenarios.
Evidence Extractor	Inspect candidate claims, figures, quotes and possible conflicts from pasted sources.	Heuristic and partial extraction, rather than fact verification.

DETERMINISTIC RESULT
33/100

Detected 3 of 9 maintained business-case section(s).

Detected
3

Not detected
6

Anonymous Business Case Checker: 3 of 9 maintained sections detected, 33/100. This is a heuristic structure result, not financial validity, source truth or approval. Captured 10 October 2026.

Other available checks and builders help you inspect the document structure or assemble the information you enter. Their output does not replace source review or the governed case process.

TOOL	WHAT IT DOES
Business Case Checker gixo.ai/tools/business-case-check	Checks a business case for the problem, options, costs, benefits, risks, dependencies, recommendation and ownership.
Board Paper Check gixo.ai/tools/board-paper-check	Checks decision framing, recommendation, alternatives, material risks, financial impact and resolutions.
Executive Summary Check gixo.ai/tools/executive-summary-check	Checks for a decision, a recommendation, quantified evidence, risk, the ask and reading length.
Figure Consistency Check gixo.ai/tools/figure-consistency-check	Finds labels that repeat across a document with conflicting numbers or units.
Evidence Label Auditor gixo.ai/tools/evidence-label-check	Checks whether claims separate sources, estimates, assumptions and management judgement.
Decision & Action Extractor gixo.ai/tools/decision-action-extractor	Pulls explicit decisions, owners, actions and dates out of meeting notes or papers.
Document Version Diff gixo.ai/tools/document-diff	Compares two versions section by section and writes a short change log.
Decision Memo Builder gixo.ai/tools/decision-memo-builder	Compares alternatives, states the decision plainly and records the next steps.
Business Case Builder gixo.ai/tools/business-case-builder	Turns costs, benefits, options, risks and implementation assumptions into a decision package you can review.
Board Paper & Decision Log Builder gixo.ai/tools/board-paper-builder	Prepares a board paper with a clear decision header and a reusable decision-log record.
Executive Summary Template Builder gixo.ai/tools/executive-summary-builder	Builds a situation, complication and resolution summary that fits the format you choose.
Project Brief Template Builder gixo.ai/tools/project-brief-builder	Defines scope, measurable objectives, milestones, deliverables and owners.
Risk Register & Heat Map Builder gixo.ai/tools/risk-register-builder	Scores inherent and residual risk, flags missing owners and mitigations, and draws a 5×5 summary.

Plans and your trial

Gixo Business is priced per seat in US dollars. Each paid plan includes monthly generation capacity; your subscriptions page shows usage and current allowances. Planning and generation are distinct actions, and additional products have their own access.

PLAN	PER SEAT, MONTHLY	PER SEAT, ANNUAL	MINIMUM SEATS
Starter	\$19	\$190	1
Professional	\$49	\$499	3
Business	\$59	\$599	5
Enterprise	\$79	\$799	10, through Azure Marketplace

- **Every paid plan:** eligible briefs, Consultant recommendations, Analyst dossiers, Business Cases and body version history. Check current feature access and available capacity for the action you need.
- **Professional and higher:** comments, named sharing and brand kit. Comments and sharing also depend on the current content role.
- **Business and higher:** team creation and member management.
- **Enterprise:** API access. Published minimums and the marketplace purchasing path remain as listed above.

Your 14-day trial

No card is needed. The trial starts when you click **Start my 14 days**; each email address receives one Business trial with Starter features. After it ends, existing saved work remains viewable under its content permissions and new generation needs an active plan. A trial does not include unlimited free AI generation or other product plans.

Start a 14-day Business trial gixo.ai/start-trial →

Starter

Good for one person turning documents into finished business work

Best for one person doing executive work →

\$19/seat/month

Seats

Minimum 1

Starts at 1 seat. Sold through Azure Marketplace or private offer.

✓ Brief Mode and Consultant

✓ Upload documents and create finished deliverables

✓ Personal Gixo Business workspace

✓ Monthly paid checkout

Recommended

Professional

Good for small teams reviewing briefs and recommendations together

Best for teams that review decisions together →

\$49/seat/month

Seats

Minimum 3

Starts at 3 seats. Sold through Azure Marketplace or private offer.

✓ Everything in Starter

✓ Reuse the same documents across modes

✓ Sharing, comments, and review

✓ Minimum 3 seats

Business

Good for shared business workflows built from the same documents

Shared decision workflows and team review →

\$59/seat/month

Seats

Minimum 5

Starts at 5 seats. Sold through Azure Marketplace or private offer.

✓ Everything in Professional

✓ Shared business workspaces

✓ Team review workflows

✓ Minimum 5 seats

The actual first pricing row. The guide table includes all four paid tiers and their current minimum-seat requirements. Captured 10 October 2026.

Quick links

Business dashboard	gixo.ai/dashboard/business
Ask Gixo Business	gixo.ai/business/ask
Business library	gixo.ai/business/library
Plan & Generate Business Briefs	gixo.ai/briefs
Create a recommendation	gixo.ai/consultant/create
Create Decision Page (Gixo Prism)	gixo.ai/decisions/create
Analyst dossiers	gixo.ai/analyst/create
Deck from Documents (Gixo Lumen)	gixo.ai/decks/create/advanced
Readiness diagnostics	gixo.ai/business-diagnostics
All free tools	gixo.ai/tools
Business plans	gixo.ai/products/briefs#plans
Help and support	gixo.ai/support

Reviewed on 10 October 2026 against the current Gixo Business implementation. The screenshots show actual local controls on fictional material: planning, source review, deterministic calculations, Draft case settings, unsubmitted editing dialogs and a saved wording comparison. No AI draft, independent verification, completed approval or governed memo is presented as a completed capture. If your screen differs, check [Gixo support](#) and the current product guides.